
7-/1 MFG. 2/75 Approved For Re	ease 2008/03/03 : 0	CIA-RDP80T0029	4A00120001001	5-4
lone	:	- C	N BY	
CABLE SEC DIREEM BY A PER	1	CRET	0	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAT
PRREGNAUNIT NOTIFIED	e rom u rombi provincial de la composition della	see agree or verse considerate cade and armore digitate. (which is to	was and V promester	STATE MESSAGE
ADVANCE COPY 152UED/BLOTTED	MY AI			a natural section of the section of
ACTION UNIT	A Klas ENOCH	NIO/SP, NI	Olysse 2	5
N 000 p/0013	1 20 1 15			6
ACTION 9				NO HOT ONLY
7 187572 ETAB91	RS, SH/SHL	CABLE SEC. EX	EYES ONLY	NC 25679
(10/3/2/ 2:00/4 /				
	TOR:25201	152 JUL 75		
•				
P R 251750Z JUL 75	- \/ A			
FM USDEL SALT TWO GEN TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WAS	EVA HDC PRIORITY 2	2663		
INFO RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY	MOSCOW 204			·
BT SEORET SALT TWO	GENEVA 250			
EXDIS/SALT		•		
DEPT ALSO PASS DOD SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR	SECDEF			
E.O. 11752: XGDS-1				
TAGS: PARM SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO	SEMENOVIS QUE	RY ON THE EF	FECT OF ART	ICLE IV-7
ON EXISTING	PRACTICES (SA	T TW0-693)	-	
REF: A. SALT TWO GENE B. SALT TWO GENE	VA 245		•	
1. RE PARAGRAPH 2 OF	REFTEL A. WE	PROPOSE TO A	NSWER SEMEN	10 V
QUERY AS FOLLOWS: 2. "BASED ON INFORMAT	TON DRESENTIV	KNOWN TO US	. WE DO NOT	
DAVISION THAT CHANGES	. WOULD BE REQ	UIRED IN EXI	STING PRACT	ICES
UNDER OUR FORMULATION MENT SHOULD NOT BE CO	OF ARTICLE I	V, PARA 7. J	THIS STATE	
STORAGE PRACTICES AT	CERTAIN OLDER	SOFT ICBM S	SITES TO BE	
	IM CETER RUNII	IN AMPTOITTE	ES ARTSH IN	
THE FUTURE, THEY COUL 3. (FYI) WE RECOGNIZE	.D BE ADDRESSE That there a	RE STORAGE	FACILITIES A	T
ALDED TOOM SOFT SITES	. אוו ארם שחווו ה	RE CONTRARY	TO SURPARAS	S (A)

ą.

State Dept. review completed

LAUNCHERS." JOHNSON

AND (B) OF ARTICLE IV, PARA 7, BUT WE BELIEVE THAT THE LAUNCHERS AT THESE SITES DO NOT HAVE A RAPID RELOAD CAPABILITY AND THAT THEY

REWORDING OF SUBPARA (C) OF ARTICLE IV, PARA 7 TO MAKE IT CLOSER TO THE LANGUAGE OF THE ABM TREATY WITHOUT ANY CHANGE IN SUBSTANCE: "NOT TO DEVELOP, TEST, OR DEPLOY SYSTEMS FOR RAPID RELOAD OF ICBM

WILL PROBABLY BE DISMANTLED OR DESTROYED IN THE NEAR FUTURE; THUS, WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT AN ISSUE SHOULD BE MADE OVER THEM. 4. IN LIGHT OF PARA 3 OF REFTEL B, WE SUGGEST THE FOLLOWING